#### REMARKS:

1 2 3

The objections in the 12/15/2004 Office Action shall be addressed in order of their occurrence in that Office Action

5

4

6

7

### Claim Rejections - 25 USC § 102

8 9

The 12/15/2004 Office Action objected to Claims 1-4 under "35 U.S.C. 102(B) as being anticipated by Langner et al 6,264,401."

11 12 13

14

10

Languer et al. shows a "subsea pipeline 10" (column 2, line 38) that is provided "with a system 10A for direct electric heating" (column 2, lines 38-39).

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Column 3, lines 6-12, of Langner et al. states the "Fig. 2 is a close up view of the direct electric following: pipeline heating system 10A. Pipeline 10 is shown to be a pipe-in-pipe flowline 30 having an electrically conductive carrier or outer pipe 32 and an electrically conductive product flowline or inner pipe 34 arranged longitudinally and substantially concentrically within the outer pipe. annulus 36 is defined between the inner and outer pipe."

24 25 26

27

28

29

30

31

Column 3, lines 54-58 of Langner et al. states the "It is necessary that inner pipe 34 be electrically isolated from outer pipe 32 along the entire length of pipe-in-pipe flowline 30. Direct contact is prevented with a plurality of electrically insulative centralizers 50 spaced at frequent intervals along annulus 36."

32 33

> "RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 12/15/2004" Serial No. 10/729,509 Rig-2

The 12/15/2004 Office Action states in part in paragraph 3: "Lagner shows an apparatus having an electrically heated composite umbilical means 10 installed within a subsea flowline (fig 1) containing produced hydrocarbons...". Applicant respectfully disagrees with the definition of "composite" used in the 12/15/2004 Office Action as it pertains to applicant's Claims 1-4. Applicant interprets from the language used in the 12/15/2004 Office Action that the word "composite" may refer to: (a) an assembly of different parts; or (b) to the "insulative centralizers 50" described above.

In contrast, in a preferred embodiment of the invention, a composite may be a "carbon-based composite material" (page 35, lines 17-19, of the specification).

The specification contains reference to U.S. Patent No. 6,357,485 on page 18, lines 19-24, and an entire copy of that U.S. Patent was incorporated by reference therein into that specification. A copy of that U.S. patent was also separately incorporated by reference into the specification on page 24, lines 4-7. U.S. Patent No. 6,357,485 is entitled "Composite Spoolable Tube" that issued on March 19, 2002 having the inventors of Quigley et al. A copy of Quigley et al. has been provided to the USPTO in the accompanying Invention Disclosure Statement (IDS).

Column 7, lines 39-60, of Quigley et al. states the following: 'P.K. Mallick in the text book entitled Fiber-Reinforced Composites, Materials manufacturing and Design, defines a composite in the following manner: "Fiber-reinforced composite materials consist of fibers of high strength and modulus embedded in or bonded to a matrix with

"RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 12/15/2004"
Serial No. 10/729,509
Rig-2

MIN THE PARTY OF T

distinct interfaces (boundary) between them. In general, fibers are the principal load arraying [carrying] member, while the surrounding matrix keeps them in the desired location and orientation, acts as a load transfer medium between them, and protects them from environmental damages due to elevated temperatures and humidity, for example." This definition defines composites as used in this invention with the fibers selected from a variety of available materials including carbon, aramid, and glass and the matrix or resin selected from a variety of available materials including thermoset resin such as epoxy and vinyl ester or thermoplastic resins such as polyetheretherketone (PEEK), polyetherketoneketone (PEKK), nylon, etc. Composite structures are capable of carrying variety of loads in combination or independently, including tension, compression, pressure, bending , and torsion."

16 17 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

From the definition in Quigley et al., the pipe-in-apipe flowline in Langner et al is not an example of a "composite" material.

20 21 22

23

2425

26

27

28

29 30

31

19

Accordingly, applicant has amended Claim 1 with the following whereby clauses: "whereby said electrical conductor is surrounded by a composite material, and whereby said composite material is comprised of fibers of high strength embedded in a matrix material, whereby said fibers are selected from carbon fibers, aramid fibers and glass fibers, and whereby said matrix material is selected from thermoset resins and thermoplastic resins, whereby said thermoset resins include epoxy and vinyl ester, and whereby said thermoplastic resins include PEEK, PEKK, and nylon." The antecedent basis in the specification is defined above.

32 33

"RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 12/15/2004"
Serial No. 10/729,509
Rig-2

Accordingly, applicant respectfully submits that Claim 1 as currently amended is allowable over Languer et al.

2

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

1

The 12/15/2004 Office Action also objected to Claims 2, 3 and 4 based on Langner et. al. In view of the above discussion, applicant has added the following "whereas clauses" to the end of Claims 2, 3 and 4 as currently amended: ", whereby said electrically heated composite umbilical means possesses at least one electrical conductor disposed within said composite umbilical means that conducts electrical current that is used to heat said electrically heated composite umbilical means, whereby said electrical conductor is surrounded by a composite material, and whereby said composite material is comprised of fibers of high strength embedded in a matrix material, whereby said fibers include carbon fibers, aramid fibers and glass fibers, and whereby said matrix material includes thermoset resins and thermoplastic resins, whereby said thermoset resins include epoxy and vinyl ester, and whereby said thermoplastic resins include PEEK, PEKK, and nylon." In view of the above discussion, and in view of the amendments, applicant respectfully submits that Claims 3, 4 and 5 as currently amended are allowable over Languer et. al.

232425

Applicant appreciates Examiner allowing Claims 5 and 6. Thank you.

27 28

29

30 31

26

Applicant respectfully submits that all the issues in the Office Action mailed 12/15/2004 have been fully addressed and that the application is now in a condition for allowability. Thank you.

32

33

"RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 12/15/2004"
Serial No. 10/729,509
Rig-2

## PAYMENT OF FEES:

1 2 3

4

Vail's Inventions Check No. 8767 in the amount of sixty dollars (\$60) is included herein to pay for the following fees:

5 6 7

One-month extension

\$ 60.00

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

However, if the applicant has made a mistake on the payment of any fees herein, applicant requests that any such deficiencies be billed to Account No. 50-0499 that was established on 3/20/1998. Fees on patents and patent applications entirely owned, or owned in part, by William Banning Vail III may be made from this account. William Banning Vail III is doing business as an inventor under the name of "Vail's Inventions". Marilyn L. Vail, the wife of William Banning Vail III, may also direct that fees be paid from this Account No. 50-0499. If for unforeseen reasons funds are not available in that account, please let applicant know as soon as possible and said deficiencies will be paid In the event of overpayment of any fees herein, applicant respectfully requests that any overpayment be deposited into Account No. 50-0499.

232425

# Pro-Se Case

262728

29 30

3132

33

This case herein is a pro-se case. Therefore, in the event that the USPTO objects to any, or all of the claims herein, applicant respectfully requests assistance from the Examiner under MPEP Section 707.07(j) to draft an acceptable claim based upon the disclosure and language in the application.

"RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 12/15/2004"
Serial No. 10/729,509
Rig-2

Further, in the event that the Examiner rejects the claims, applicant requests that Examiner direct applicant to the claims closest to allowability, and if possible, applicant further requests that Examiner preliminarily mark-up one of said claims in a future office action to further aid applicant to achieve allowability of at least one claim in an expeditious fashion.

8

6

7

. .

1

2

3

9

#### **DECLARATION:**

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

As applicant, I hereby verify that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on my information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such wilful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

20 21 22

23

24

This application is filed pro-se. The applicant is using the book entitled "Patent It Yourself", Ninth Edition, by David Pressman, and if there are errors, please advise the inventor, and such errors will be corrected immediately.

25 26

27

28

29

(Entire Signature on Next Page for Clarity)

30 31

32

33

"RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 12/15/2004" Serial No. 10/729,509 Rig-2

Please address all correspondence involving this case to the co-inventor at the below defined address. Thank you. Very respectfully submitted, William Banning Vail/III Second Named Inventor and President of Swart Drilling and Completion, Inc. 3123 - 198th Place S.E. Bothell, Washington Home Telephone: (425) 486-8789 Home Fax: (425) 482-2013 (Note: This is the signature page of the document entitled "RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 12/15/2004" for Serial No. 10/729,509.)

"RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 12/15/2004" Serial No. 10/729,509 Rig-2